

The 21st Amendment: Reflections 90 Years Later

Joseph E. Ojih

Morgan State University, Baltimore, Maryland

Abstract

The 21st Amendment, enacted 90 years ago, significantly altered U.S. history by ending Prohibition and legalizing alcohol. Its objectives were to create an orderly alcohol market, reduce misuse, and ensure public health and safety. This amendment ceased the illicit alcohol trade, leading to a regulated, safer market and reduced criminal activities. However, its success in curbing alcohol abuse and protecting public health varied across states, due to differing local regulations. Supreme Court interpretations affirmed state autonomy in alcohol regulation while upholding constitutional principles. The amendment's impact highlights both achievements in market regulation and ongoing challenges in public health. It reflects the adaptability of U.S. law and ongoing discourse in societal and policy realms on alcohol regulation.

Keywords: Prohibition, 21st Amendment, Alcohol Regulation, Public Health.

Introduction

Ninety years ago, a pivotal moment unfolded in United States history, then a 235-year-old nation. It undertook the rare act of amending its Constitution, specifically through the ratification of the 21st Amendment in 1933 (Dinan & Heckelman, 2014; Brown, 2003). This amendment holds significance as it repealed the 18th Amendment, effectively ending the nationwide prohibition of alcohol production, sale, and consumption. This era, known as the national prohibition, was a defining period in American history. The enactment of the 21st Amendment marked the resumption of legal alcohol activities (Jurkiewicz & Painter, 2008). However, this legislative change invites a critical analysis: Did the 21st Amendment fulfill its intended objectives? Note that the 21st Amendment was designed to establish a regulated alcohol market, curb misuse, and safeguard public health and safety. An in-depth examination is warranted to assess the extent of its success in achieving these goals.

The Journey to the 21st Amendment

The evolution toward the 21st Amendment in the United States represents a compelling narrative of societal and legislative transformation. This journey commenced with the ratification of the 18th Amendment, a significant constitutional enactment on January 16, 1919 (Andrew, 2019; History Channel, 2023). The 18th Amendment materialized as a culmination of the temperance movement's efforts, a campaign driven by individuals who vehemently opposed alcohol, attributing to it societal ills and health issues (Burns, 2024; History Channel, 2023). Their persistent advocacy led to the nationwide prohibition of alcohol production, sale, and consumption.

During the era of Prohibition, it was widely anticipated that this measure would ameliorate societal problems. Contrary to these expectations, however, Prohibition catalyzed

unforeseen issues, most notably the surge in organized crime. Criminal syndicates recognized and exploited the lucrative opportunity in the illicit alcohol trade, systematically engaging in its production, distribution, and sale. This clandestine operation blatantly contravened the law but thrived due to persistent public demand. Simultaneously, a burgeoning black market for alcohol emerged. In the absence of legal avenues, a covert marketplace flourished, eluding governmental control and regulation (PBS, 2024). This proliferation of the black market presented significant challenges in law enforcement and governance.

As the effectiveness of Prohibition came under scrutiny, public sentiment began to shift. The realization that Prohibition was more impractical to enforce than initially anticipated gained traction. Gradually, a counter-movement advocating for the repeal of Prohibition gained momentum, permeating public discourse and political lobbying. This growing movement ultimately culminated in a landmark constitutional development. On December 5, 1933, the 21st Amendment was ratified, indicating a consensus among a requisite number of states. This amendment holds the distinction of being the only one to repeal a previous amendment, thus marking the conclusion of Prohibition. It legalized the production, sale, and consumption of alcohol in the United States once again (Dinan & Heckelman, 2014; Brown, 2003; Burns, 2024; History Channel, 2023). The ratification of the 21st Amendment stands as a testament to the dynamic nature of law and governance, reflecting the capacity of the American society and political system to adapt and revise legislations in response to changing perspectives and realities.

The Unique Nature of the 21st Amendment

The 21st Amendment stands out for several reasons, most notably for being the sole amendment that negates a previously established one, essentially representing an

acknowledgment and rectification of a prior legislative misstep. Specifically, it repealed the 18th Amendment, which had mandated a nationwide prohibition on the manufacture, sale, and consumption of alcohol, a period famously known as Prohibition (History Channel, 2023). The repeal signified a substantial policy reversal.

Another distinctive aspect of the 21st Amendment is its ratification process. Typically, amendments to the U.S. Constitution are ratified through state legislatures, bodies composed of elected officials from each state. However, the ratification of the 21st Amendment deviated from this norm, employing state ratifying conventions instead. These conventions were unique assemblies convened solely to deliberate and decide on this particular amendment (History Channel, 2023; Brown, 2003). This method, a singular occurrence in American history, involved focused discussions in each state solely on the adoption of the amendment.

The 21st Amendment is composed of two primary sections. Section 1 unequivocally annuls the 18th Amendment, thereby lifting the nationwide prohibition and decriminalizing the production, sale, and consumption of alcohol. Section 2, however, introduces a nuanced stipulation: it empowers individual states to maintain prohibition should they choose to, prohibiting the transportation of alcohol into such states (History Channel, 2023; Jurkiewicz & Painter, 2008). This critical aspect of the amendment returned the authority to regulate alcohol to the states, enabling them to tailor their alcohol policies according to their specific societal needs and perspectives. Consequently, this led to a diverse spectrum of regulatory approaches across states, ranging from stringent to more lenient alcohol policies. This shift was significant in allowing each state to determine its course in managing alcohol-related matters.

The Current State of Alcohol Regulation

Currently in the United States, alcohol regulations vary significantly from one location to another, a direct consequence of the 21st Amendment's delegation of authority to individual states. This amendment granted each state the autonomy to establish its own alcohol-related laws, leading to a diverse array of regulatory landscapes across the nation.

In the wake of the 21st Amendment, some states elected to remain "dry states," maintaining stringent alcohol regulations. These states often impose severe restrictions on the sale of alcohol, if not outright prohibition. Conversely, other states have legalized alcohol but closely regulate its distribution. These regulations can include stipulations on who is authorized to sell alcohol, permissible sales locations, and specific times or days when alcohol sales are allowed (National Alcohol Beverage Control Association, 2016; History Channel, 2023; Jurkiewicz & Painter, 2008). For instance, certain areas may prohibit alcohol sales on particular days or restrict sales to specific types of establishments.

This state-by-state discretion has resulted in what can be described as a regulatory "patchwork" across the country. Each state, and sometimes even individual communities within a state, has crafted a unique set of alcohol laws. A notable example of this is the concept of "dry communities." These are areas within states that have chosen to remain alcohol-free, despite the nationwide legality of alcohol (National Alcohol Beverage Control Association, 2016). As a result, when traveling across the United States, one may encounter vastly different alcohol regulations, depending on the specific state and local laws in effect. This patchwork nature of alcohol regulation underscores the varied approaches and attitudes towards alcohol governance within the country.

Has the 21st Amendment Worked?

To evaluate the success of the 21st Amendment, we must consider its three primary goals: providing an orderly marketplace for alcohol, preventing abuse, and protecting public health and safety.

Orderly Marketplace

The ratification of the 21st Amendment, which repealed Prohibition, fundamentally altered the landscape of alcohol production and distribution in the United States. During Prohibition, the illegal manufacture and sale of alcohol led to widespread disorder and noncompliance with the law. However, the repeal of Prohibition marked the transition to a more regulated and structured environment for alcohol-related activities.

A significant consequence of this shift was the legitimization of alcohol production and sales as formal economic activities. This development positively impacted the economy by creating numerous employment opportunities. Individuals found work in breweries, distilleries, and retail establishments dealing in alcohol, thereby contributing to livelihoods and supporting families. Additionally, the legal sale of alcohol meant that the government could generate revenue through taxes on these transactions. This tax revenue became a crucial source of funding for public infrastructure and services, such as roads and education (Klein, 2023; Grover, 2018; Jurkiewicz & Painter, 2008; Dinan & Heckelman, 2014).

Another critical aspect of the end of Prohibition was the empowerment of individual states with autonomy in alcohol regulation. This autonomy allowed each state to tailor its alcohol laws to the specific needs and preferences of its residents. As a result, a diverse range of regulatory frameworks emerged across the country, varying from stricter controls in some states

to more lenient policies in others. This state-specific approach ensured that the regulatory environment was adapted to local contexts and requirements.

This decentralized approach fostered a more orderly and regulated alcohol market. An orderly market is characterized by clear, consistent rules and regulations, enhancing safety and fairness in commercial transactions. This regulatory framework was instrumental in mitigating risks associated with alcohol, such as the circulation of unsafe products and underage sales. Thus, the post-Prohibition era in the United States saw the establishment of a system where alcohol could be consumed in a manner that was both safe and responsible, reflecting a balance between individual freedoms and public welfare.

Preventing Abuse

The legalization of alcohol following the repeal of Prohibition notably diminished the criminal activities associated with the illicit alcohol trade. During Prohibition, the illegality of alcohol spurred widespread clandestine operations for its production and distribution, escalating criminal behavior and societal issues. However, the re-legalization of alcohol facilitated its legitimate and open production and sale, significantly curtailing these criminal undertakings and contributing to a safer, more structured societal environment. Despite this positive shift, the issue of alcohol abuse persisted as a lingering challenge.

Alcohol abuse, characterized by excessive or harmful consumption, poses significant concerns due to its potential to cause health complications, accidents, and other detrimental outcomes. The 21st Amendment, while effective in ending Prohibition, did not explicitly address alcohol abuse, nor did it establish comprehensive measures to combat it. Instead, it delegated the responsibility of managing alcohol abuse to state and local governments, leading to a diverse array of strategies and levels of effectiveness in addressing this issue across the country

(National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, n.d.; Grover, 2018; Cooper & Wright, 2012).

States have adopted various approaches to mitigate alcohol abuse, with varying degrees of success. Some states have implemented robust initiatives, including educational programs to raise awareness about the dangers of excessive drinking, regulatory measures to limit the purchase quantities of alcohol, and training for alcohol vendors to identify and prevent potential abuse (Gruenewald, Madden, & Janes, 1992; Cook & Moore, 2002). These strategies aim to reduce the incidence of alcohol abuse and its associated harms. Conversely, other states, possibly due to limited resources or differing priorities, may not have as comprehensive or focused efforts in this area. Consequently, the effectiveness in curbing alcohol abuse varies significantly from state to state. Some regions report lower levels of abuse due to proactive measures, while others continue to grapple with the issue.

This disparity highlights that while the legalization of alcohol addressed certain problems linked to its prohibition, it did not provide a panacea for all alcohol-related issues. The ongoing challenge remains to ensure responsible alcohol consumption and effectively manage the societal impacts of alcohol abuse.

Protecting Public Health and Safety

The 21st Amendment has had notable benefits, particularly in the realms of public health and safety. During the Prohibition era preceding this amendment, the unregulated and clandestine production and sale of alcohol often resulted in unsafe products. Consumers were at risk of health issues from poorly manufactured alcohol or unknown substances (PBS, 2024). The post-Prohibition era, ushered in by the 21st Amendment, saw the implementation of

governmental regulations overseeing the production and distribution of alcohol. These regulations function akin to rules in a game, ensuring fair play and safety for all involved.

However, despite these advancements in safety, the 21st Amendment did not fully address the broader public health concerns associated with alcohol consumption. Public health focuses on the well-being and safety of the community at large. A major area of concern is the array of health issues and diseases linked to excessive alcohol consumption, such as liver diseases and the increased risk of accidents, particularly involving drunk driving (Parry et al, 2011; Maher, 1997; Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health, 2014; Wechsler & Nelson, 2010). These issues are critical as they pose risks not only to the individual consuming alcohol but also to the broader community.

The 21st Amendment primarily concerns the legalization and commercial regulation of alcohol, leaving the management of health-related issues to state discretion. As a result, states have adopted various strategies to mitigate the health risks associated with alcohol. These include legal measures to prevent drunk driving, such as establishing blood alcohol concentration limits for drivers, and educational initiatives targeting particularly vulnerable groups like young adults (Bieber, 2022). Furthermore, efforts to improve accessibility to treatment for alcohol-related issues have been implemented in some regions.

The effectiveness of these public health measures varies significantly across states. While some have observed considerable improvements in community health and safety due to their initiatives, others continue to explore and refine their strategies. This variance underscores that while the 21st Amendment has facilitated certain positive changes, it also highlights an ongoing challenge: ensuring that alcohol consumption does not detrimentally impact individual and

public health. This situation reflects a continuing need for proactive and effective measures to safeguard health and safety in the context of legal alcohol consumption.

Supreme Court Cases and the 21st Amendment

The 21st Amendment has been a central issue in several significant Supreme Court cases. These cases have necessitated judicial interpretation of the amendment, clarifying its implications and operational mechanics. Such Supreme Court rulings are pivotal in delineating and refining the legal landscape. Two landmark cases that stand out in this context are *State Board of Equalization v. Young's Market Co.* and *Granholm v. Heald* (U.S. Supreme Court, 1936; Legal Information Institute, n.d.). These cases primarily dealt with the extent of state authority in regulating alcohol under the 21st Amendment.

The Supreme Court's rulings in these cases serve as judicial guidelines, akin to setting the rules for how a complex game is played. The Court affirmed that states possess considerable discretion in formulating their alcohol policies due to the powers granted by the 21st Amendment. This encompasses the authority to determine the parameters of alcohol sales, including the licensing of sellers, the eligibility of purchasers, and the timing of sales.

However, the Court also emphasized that state-level alcohol regulations must be congruent with other fundamental constitutional principles. Notably, these include adherence to the Commerce Clause, which governs interstate commerce, and the Equal Protection Clause, which mandates equal treatment under the law (Staff, n.d.; Stennis Center for Public Service, 2022; *Levels of Scrutiny Under the Equal Protection Clause*, n.d.; Parker, 2009). This judicial guidance underscores that while states have significant autonomy in alcohol regulation, their policies must align with broader constitutional mandates. This framework ensures that alcohol regulation is not only fair but also integrates seamlessly with other legal provisions designed to

safeguard the rights and interests of individuals and businesses. The Supreme Court's interpretations in these cases thus play a critical role in balancing state authority with overarching constitutional principles, ensuring a coherent and equitable regulatory environment.

Conclusion

This study has revealed that the 21st Amendment has been instrumental in reshaping the country's approach to alcohol regulation. Its impact over the last 90 years offers a complex narrative of substantial achievements and ongoing challenges. In plain terms, the amendment's primary accomplishment lies in dismantling the Prohibition era's unregulated and clandestine alcohol market. By legalizing alcohol, it effectively dismantled the illicit operations that flourished under Prohibition, replacing them with a regulated and safer environment. This transformation not only curbed the illegal alcohol trade but also fostered economic growth through legal businesses and taxation. The amendment's success in creating an orderly marketplace is evident in the substantial reduction of crime associated with the alcohol trade and the establishment of a structured, transparent market.

Despite these successes, the 21st Amendment has faced significant hurdles in fully realizing its intended objectives, particularly in preventing alcohol abuse and ensuring public health and safety. The decentralized approach to alcohol regulation, which empowers states to set their policies, has resulted in a patchwork of regulations across the country. This disparity has led to varying levels of effectiveness in addressing alcohol abuse and its associated health and safety risks. While some states have developed robust strategies to mitigate these issues, others lag behind, reflecting the amendment's limitations in creating a uniform approach to alcohol-related challenges.

It is worth noting here that the 21st Amendment's journey underscores the dynamic nature of the U.S. Constitution and American law, reflecting the country's ability to adapt its legal framework in response to changing societal needs and perspectives. As our understanding of alcohol's impact on public health and safety continues to evolve, the conversation around alcohol regulation remains critical and ongoing. The amendment has indeed reshaped the alcohol landscape in the United States, but its complete fulfillment of intended objectives remains a subject of debate and continual reassessment in the context of new insights and societal shifts.

References

- 45 States That Allow Underage (under 21) Alcohol Consumption - Minimum Legal Drinking Age* - ProCon.org. (n.d.). Retrieved 21, 2024, from <http://drinkingage.procon.org/view.resource.php?resourceID=002591>
- Andrew, E. (2019, February 22). *10 Things You Should Know About Prohibition*. Retrieved from History: <https://www.history.com/news/10-things-you-should-know-about-prohibition>
- Bieber, C. (2022, October 27). *DUI Laws By State (2024 Guide)*. Retrieved from Forbes: <https://www.forbes.com/advisor/legal/dui/dui-laws/>
- Brown, E. S. (2003). *Ratification of the Twenty-First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States: State Convention Records and Laws*. Clark, New Jersey : The Law Book Exchange, Ltd.
- Burns, K. (2024). *Roots of Prohibition*. Retrieved from Public Broadcasting Service: <https://www.pbs.org/kenburns/prohibition/roots-of-prohibition>
- Cook, P. J., & Moore, M. J. (2002). The Economics Of Alcohol Abuse And Alcohol-Control Policies. *Health Affairs*, 21(2), 120-133. Retrieved 21, 2024, from <https://healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.21.2.120>
- Cooper, J. C., & Wright, J. D. (2012). Alcohol, Antitrust, and the 21st Amendment: An Empirical Examination of Post and Hold Laws. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 32(4), 379-392. Retrieved 21, 2024, from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1641415
- Dinan, J., & Heckelman, J. C. (2014). Support for Repealing Prohibition: An Analysis of State-Wide Referenda on Ratifying the 21st Amendment†. *Social Science Quarterly*, 95(3), 636-651.

- Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health, 2014*. (2014). World Health Organization. Retrieved 21, 2024, from http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/publications/global_alcohol_report/en/
- Grover, S. (2018). *About the Positive Effects of the 21st Amendment*. Retrieved from <https://legalbeagle.com/8104318-positive-effects-21st-amendment.html>
- Gruenewald, P. J., Madden, P., & Janes, K. (1992). Alcohol Availability and the Formal Power and Resources of State Alcohol Beverage Control Agencies. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 16*(3), 591-597. Retrieved 21, 2024, from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1530-0277.1992.tb01422.x>
- History Channel. (2023, July 28). *18th and 21st Amendments*. Retrieved from <https://www.history.com/topics/united-states-constitution/18th-and-21st-amendments>
- Jurkiewicz, C. L., & Painter, M. J. (2008). *A Social and Economic Control of Alcohol: The 21st Amendment in the 21st Century*. New York: Routledge.
- Klein, C. (2023, March 28). *The Night Prohibition Ended*. Retrieved from History Channel: <https://www.history.com/news/the-night-prohibition-ended>
- Legal Information Institute. (n.d.). *GRANHOLM V. HEALD (03-1116) 544 U.S. 460*. Retrieved from Cornell Law School : <https://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/03-1116.ZS.html>
- Levels of Scrutiny Under the Equal Protection Clause*. (n.d.). Retrieved 21, 2024, from <http://www.law.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/conlaw/epscrutiny.htm>
- Maher, J. J. (1997). Exploring alcohol's effects on liver function. *Alcohol health and research world, 21*(1), 5. Retrieved 21, 2024, from <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc6826796>

National Alcohol Beverage Control Association. (2016). *Dry America in the 21st Century*.

Retrieved from NABCA Research:

https://www.nabca.org/sites/default/files/assets/files/Dry_America_Analysis.pdf

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. (n.d.). *Alcohol Policy*. Retrieved from

NIAAA: <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/alcohols-effects-health/alcohol-policy>

Parker, W. (2009). Limiting the Equal Protection Clause Roberts Style. *University of Miami law review*, 63(2), 507. Retrieved 21, 2024, from

<http://repository.law.miami.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1246&context=umlr>

Parry, C. D., Parry, C. D., Patra, J., Patra, J., & Rehm, J. (2011). Alcohol consumption and non-communicable diseases: Epidemiology and policy implications. *Addiction*, 106(10),

1718-1724. Retrieved 21, 2024, from <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc3174337>

PBS. (2024). *Unintended Consequences of Prohibition*. Retrieved from Public Broadcasting

Service: <https://www.pbs.org/kenburns/prohibition/unintended-consequences>

Staff, L. I. (n.d.). *Paragraph 7 of Section 9 of Article 1 of the Constitution of the United States of America*. Legal Information Institute, Cornell University School of Law. Retrieved 21,

2024, from <https://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/article1>

Stennis Center for Public Service. (2022). *Commerce Clause*. Retrieved from

<https://stennis.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Commerce-Clause-Final.pdf>

U.S. Constitution - Article 1 Section 8 - The U.S. Constitution Online - USConstitution.net.

(n.d.). Retrieved 21, 2024, from http://www.usconstitution.net/xconst_A1Sec8.html

U.S. Supreme Court. (1936). *State Board of Equalization v. Young's Market Co.*, 299 U.S. 59.

Retrieved from Justia: <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/299/59/>

Wechsler, H., & Nelson, T. F. (2010). Will Increasing Alcohol Availability By Lowering the Minimum Legal Drinking Age Decrease Drinking and Related Consequences Among Youths. *American Journal of Public Health, 100*(6), 986-992. Retrieved 2 1, 2024, from <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc2866588>